IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO.469 OF 2006

Ishwar Deoram Tayde Petitioner

versus

State of Maharashtra & ors. Respondents

Shri Uday Warunjikar for petitioner.
Shri R.M.Sawant, Govt.Pleader for respondents.

CORAM : KSHITIJ R. VYAS, C.J. &
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 28th February 2006

PC :

1. The petitioner in this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has made a grievance that the respondents have not acted as per the provisions of Law, Rules and Guidelines while accepting Form No.18 for registration of names as voters for elections to Mumbai Graduate Constituency. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondents have over looked the provisions of Sections 15 to 20 of the Representation of People Act, 1950. It is his further grievance that though the respondents

have received number of complaints about acceptance of Form No.18 without proof of residence and in respect of attestation, still they continued to accept Form No.18 without proof of residence and attested documents annexed with Form no.18.

2. We have heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned Government Pleader for respondents. We are disposing of this petition on the statement being made by learned Government Pleader to the effect that respondents before finalising and publishing the final Electoral Roll for the Mumbai Graduate Constituency shall consider the objections raised in the petition in accordance with the provisions of Rules and Guidelines.

(CHIEF JUSTICE)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)